POLYGENIC RISK SCORES

Are ready for application in routine cardiological practice (PRO)

Maryam Kavousi MD PhD FESC

Associate Professor Epidemiology of Cardiometabolic Disorders

m.kavousi@erasmusmc.nl



Polygenic Risk Scores





The Clinical Utility of PRS

- In a clinical setting, the focus is on a single person
- What information does their PRS give about their risk of disease?
 - At what percentile in the distribution of PRS does this individual lie?
 - What is this person's relative risk of disease compared to the average risk in the population?

Erasmus MC

• What is this person's absolute risk of disease, and by what age?

From birth: Risk prediction

- PRS can be utilized earlier in life than can other (non-genetic) risk factors
 - Constructed on the basis of inherited genetic variation, set at conception
 - Despite fixed genetic liability, the risk arising from genes is dynamic, depending on changing factors such as age, environmental exposures, and previous illnesses.
- PRS provides the opportunity to estimate risk trajectories across a lifetime,
 - Rather than for 5 or 10 years, as is the case for most clinical risk scores





PRS provides the opportunity to estimate risk trajectories across a lifetime,

• Rather than for 5 or 10 years, as is the case for most clinical risk scores



Lambert SA, et al. Hum Mol Genet. 2019;28:R133-R142.



Age

with high clinical risk, high polygenic risk or neither



Mars N, et al. Nat Med . 2020;26,:49-557.

From birth: Risk prediction

> Early symptoms Prodromal phase

- Elevated genetic risk can be associated with earlier disease onset, even in the absence of traditional risk factors.
 - PRSs hold the potential to improve the accuracy of both early and targeted primary prevention
 - Useful to encourage healthy behavior throughout life, although lacking the experience on how
 - Particularly relevant for chronic diseases developing over decades





- Clinical utility:
 - Combined with non-genetic risk factors
 - PRS alone: for those with extremely high polygenic scores (i.e., top 8% of a CAD PRS distribution) have a risk comparable to that of those with a monogenic FH mutation
 - Availability of preventive interventions and/or medicine





- PRS alone: for those with extremely high polygenic scores (i.e., top 8% of a CAD PRS distribution) have a risk comparable to that of those with a monogenic FH mutation
- Availability of preventive interventions and/or medicine



Khera AV, et al. Nat Genet. 2018;50:1219-1224.

















Marston NA, et al. Circulation. 2020;141:616-623.





Clinically Relevant PRS | Required Steps

- Realistic estimation of predictive ability in clinical populations
- Identification of the intended purpose of the PRS
- Recognition that even though not useful for the majority of the population (middle of the PRS distribution), may be relevant for those with high or low PRS (tails of the PRS distribution)
- Clarification if PRS has an additive or interaction effect with established epidemiological or biological risk factors before combining in joint prediction models
- Risk end Engagement of clinicians and service users, to ensure:
 - Avoiding application of PRS for deterministic interpretations
 Production of PRS for deterministic interpretations

Prognosis: prediction of disease course And outcome

PRS is an indicator, not a precise measure

Erasmus MC

POLYGENIC RISK SCORES

Are ready for application in routine cardiological practice (PRO)

Maryam Kavousi MD PhD FESC

Associate Professor Epidemiology of Cardiometabolic Disorders

m.kavousi@erasmusmc.nl

